EXHIBIT 1

DEPARTMENT OF LAW
CITY OF CHICAGO

June 4, 2024

Carmen Mostek
[redacted]
Chicago Il 60616

Re: *Kent Meynard, Jr. v. Chicago Police Department, as Agent of the City of Chicago and Chicago police officer Carmen Mostek (Star 8664)*

Dear Officer Mostek,

As you may know, you have been named as a defendant in the above referenced lawsuit regarding events that occurred on April 21, 2024. Plaintiff alleges that you engaged in a series of off-duty actions including shooting Plaintiff's dog without justification while Plaintiff was allegedly restraining it, thereby endangering his life as well; allegedly making a false police report that alleged that Plaintiff's dog was attacking your dog; and harassing Plaintiff and defaming Plaintiff in order to force him out of his home. Following a review of the allegations, the City of Chicago Department of Law agrees to represent you in this case under a reservation of rights because the facts do not clearly demonstrate that you were acting within the scope of your employment at the time of the incident. As alleged by Plaintiff, your conduct was not of the type that the City of Chicago empowered you to perform as a Chicago police officer.

Article 22 of the Collective Bargaining Agreement between the City and the Fraternal Order of Police sets forth the rights and procedures with respect to indemnification and representation of police officers by the City of Chicago. Under Article 22.4, the City is required to provide indemnification and representation to its police officer employees "so long as the officer is acting within the scope of his or her employment." Based on the complaint, it appears that some, if not all, of the events alleged do not concern the exercise of your duties within the scope of your employment as a Chicago Police officer. As a result, the City of Chicago Department of Law agrees to represent you as a defendant in this cause under a reservation of rights. Under this reservation of rights, the City of Chicago may, in the future, decline to continue its representation of you and may decline to indemnify you for any damages awarded to Plaintiff in this case if the facts show that you were not acting in the scope of your employment at the time of the events alleged in the complaint.

An attorney from our office will be contacting you to arrange your representation in the above referenced matter. In the meantime, should you have any questions or wish to discuss this matter further, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Sincerely,



Caroline Fronczak
Deputy Corporation Counsel
(312) 744-5126

cc: Mary Richardson-Lowry
Corporation Counsel

Cicely Porter
Chief Labor Negotiator

Scott Spears
Acting General Counsel, Office of the Superintendent